

## hybrid-Lenstool : combining parametric SL + non-parametric WL for cluster mass modeling

#### Anna NIEMIEC

Mathilde Jauzac, Eric Jullo, Marceau Limousin, Keren Sharon, Jean-Paul Kneib, Priyamvada Natarajan, Johan Richard

Anna NIEMIEC - 21/02/2020 @ GCCL seminar

### $\Sigma\ll\Sigma_{\rm crit}$ —> Weak Lensing

### $\Sigma \sim \Sigma_{\rm crit}$ —> Strong Lensing

—> Model cluster projected mass distribution with SL and/or WL constraints

Cluster physics

Optical + lensing + X-ray for MACS0416 (Jauzac+2015)





- Cluster physics
- Dark matter properties



Harvey+2017,2018

- Cluster physics
- Dark matter properties
- Highly magnified galaxies



Rivera-Thorsen+2019

- Cluster physics
- Dark matter properties
- Highly magnified galaxies
- High-z Universe



Atek+2018

- Cluster physics
- Dark matter properties
- Highly magnified galaxies
- Distant Universe
- Cosmology

#### Cosmography (Ares simulated cluster)



Acebron+2017

- Cluster physics
- Dark matter properties
- Highly magnified galaxies
- Distant Universe
- Cosmology

–> Need to model mass distribution with high precision and accuracy

## Parametric mass modeling



- Physically motivated mass components (SL geometry + light distribution)
- Sparse distribution of SL constraints
- Ex: Glafic (*Oguri+2010*), LTM (*Zitrin+2012*), GLEE (*Suyu+2010*), ...

### Lenstool: parametric in SL region



- Cluster-scale potentials (x, y, e, rs, ...) + galaxy scale potentials (mass-to-light relation)
- Free parameters:  $\overrightarrow{\Theta} = [x, y, e, \dots]$
- Source-plane optimization:

$$\chi_{S,i}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{||x_{\rm S}^j - \langle x_{\rm S}^j \rangle ||^2}{\mu_j^{-2} \sigma_{ij}^2}$$

*Jullo+2007* 

# Free-form mass modeling

- Grid of mass "pixels"
- More flexible for substructure detection
- Ex: SWUnited (*Bradac+2005*), WSLAP+ (*Diego+2005*), GRALE (*Liesenborgs+2006*), LensPerfect (*Cole+2008*), SaWLens (*Merten+2011*), ...



# Lenstool: grid in WL region

- Position and shape fixed
- Vary amplitude and number of potential used
- Free parameters:

$$\overrightarrow{v} = [\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N]$$

• Regular or multi-scale grid



*Jauzac+2012, Jullo+2014* 

### Cluster mass modeling with Lenstool

• Centre: parametric model, SL :  $\overrightarrow{\Theta} = [x, y, e, ...]$ 



• Outskirts: nonparametric WL  $\overrightarrow{v} = [\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N]$ 

OR

Combining parametric SL + non-parametric WL with Lenstool: before, a 2-step process

# The importance of substructures

- Need to include substructures beyond the core for accurate model in the core (e.g. Mahler+2018)
- Also for cosmology (e.g. Acebron+2017)



Courtesy of Guillaume Mahler

# M/L relation variations

- Possible M/L evolution for galaxies in clusters
- Optimize different M/L at different distances to the cluster centre



Niemiec+2017

# Need to optimize parametric model + grid at the same time

MCMC sampling of the posterior PDFs, with likelihood function :

$$l_{\text{tot}} = l_{\text{SL}}(\overrightarrow{\Theta}, \overrightarrow{v}) + l_{\text{WL}}(\overrightarrow{\Theta}, \overrightarrow{v})$$

#### (before: $l_{ m SL}(ec{\Theta})$ then $l_{ m WL}(ec{v}|ec{\Theta}_{ m best})$ )

# Need to optimize parametric model + grid at the same time

2 types of models: parametric, grid



2 types of constraints: SL, WL

And 2 methods :

- parametric : bayeSys with 1 atom  $[\overrightarrow{\Theta}]$
- grid : massInf with N atoms  $[i][\sigma_i^2]$

-> Blockwise sampling in the MCMC = update alternately  $\vec{\Theta}$  and  $\vec{v}$ 

## Test on simulated cluster

Input mass map



- ~ Abell 2744 : 2 large scale potentials in cluster core + 6 substructures (Jauzac+2016b) + 246 galaxy-scale potentials
- 15 SL multiple image systems with 1.5 < z < 5
- Uniformly distributed WL sources, with N = 45 sources/ arcmin<sup>2</sup> and 0.5 < z < 1.5</li>

### Lenstool mass models



- Good substructure detection with both methods
- Joint-Fit reduces bias in mass reconstruction



# Future improvements

- Implement image-plane optimization —> necessary for more complex systems ?
- Decrease computation time by adding more parallelization

# Application : the BUFFALO survey

### **Beyond Ultra-Deep Frontier Fields and Legacy Observations**

GO-15117, Pls: Steinhardt & Jauzac

- ► HFF extension :
  - 101 HST orbits
  - 2 optical filter + 3 NIR

#### Cluster modeling :

add weak lensing constraints

- Improve overall model
- Substructure detection
- High-z : area previously covered by Spitzer



### h-Lenstool model for Abell370

- Latest SL model for A370 (*Lagattuta+2019*): 4 large-scale potentials + external shear
- Could including substructures replace the external shear ?



External shear in SL model from Lagattuta+2019 :



External shear in SL model from Lagattuta+2019 :

With WL :



External shear in SL model from Lagattuta+2019 :

With WL :



- Substructures outside of field of view
- ► ...?



### 190**--**910

- 3 completed clusters: Abell370, MACS0416, MACS0717
- More info : <u>https://buffalo.ipac.caltech.edu/</u>
- Follow us



@buffalo\_survey

@buffalosurvey

27

MACS0717

# Summary

- Combining parametric model in SL region and nonparametric grid model in WL region with Lenstool
- Model for A370 (+ other BUFFALO clusters) soon to come
- Symposium "Panchromatic and hyper-spectral observations of cluster lenses and lensed galaxies" @EAS2020 (https://eas.unige.ch//EAS\_meeting/ session.jsp?id=S4)